UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 2 INDECK KEYSTONE ENERGY LLC, 3 Civil Action Plaintiff, 4 No. 04-325 Erie vs. 5 VICTORY ENERGY OPERATIONS, 6 LLC, Defendant. 7 8 DEPOSITION OF CHRISTOS TRIFON PETCOS 9 WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 15, 2006 10 Deposition of CHRISTOS TRIFON PETCOS, taken 11 pursuant to Notice and the Federal Rules of Civil 12 Procedure, by and before Cathy R. Mull, Notary Public in 13 and for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, at the offices 14 of Schnader Harrison Segal & Lewis LLP, Fifth Avenue 15 Place, Suite 2700, 120 Fifth Avenue, Pittsburgh, 16 Pennsylvania 15222-3001 commencing at 10:07 o'clock a.m., 17 on the day and date above set forth. 18 19 20 21 22 23 **EXHIBIT** 63

PROCEEDINGS 1 CHRISTOS TRIFON PETCOS, 2 having been duly cautioned and sworn, as hereinafter 3 certified, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. SHEEAN: 6 Sir, would you please state your full name for the 7 Record. Sure. Christos Trifon Petcos. C-h-r-i-s-t-o-s, 9 middle name T-r-i-f-o-n, P-e-t-c-o-s for the last name. 10 Mr. Petcos, do you recall that approximately four 11 months ago we got together and you gave your Deposition in 12 this case as an individual? 13 Yes. 14 The rules that we went over during that Deposition 15 are going to apply again here today. Okay? 16 Α Okay. 17 Do you also understand that as opposed to your last 18 Deposition where you were testifying as an individual, you 19 have been designated here today to testify on behalf of 20 Indeck Keystone Energy? 21 Yes. 22 Α MR. SHEEAN: Let's go ahead and mark as 23

1/19

the testimony.

Q Topic No. 12, trade secrets.

MR. GISLESON: One second. I forgot something in my office on this subject.

(Thereupon, a recess was taken).

MR. SHEEAN: Let's go back on the Record.

BY MR. SHEEAN:

Q Can you identify for me every trade second that IKE believes was provided to Victory Energy pursuant to the terms of the License Agreement.

The overall design of the Keystone boiler by itself as well as in combination with the KPSC software based on its proven performance and enhancements, over time VEO's marketing materials reference the advantages that the Keystone has over other boilers.

Our KPSC software, all tube layouts, M series custom and O series, design of our welded walls, design of our water cooled burner throat, steam purity designs, assembly sequences, design performance sheets, all printouts of thermal performance sheets, and engineering methodologies and design standards, structural based design allows for thermal expansion from front to rear, front and rear water wall designs, refractory design, tube

clearances, drum internals, the vortex designs, the chevron designs, the internal baffling of the drums, structural size, the structural jacking points, pads, rings on all four corners of the boiler, the load moves from the pad up through the casing design and onto the upper drum, water cooled front wall and burner throat refractory tile and insulation design allows provisions for expansion sales upper and lower drum.

Inner outer casing seal system allows for expansion, the stiffener design, the chemical feed, internal piping arrangement, the blow down piping internal arrangement, dry can on incline baffle design, dry can on the information in our operations and maintenance manuals how EPTI and IKE recommend startup and operation and shut down of our units, superheater design and sizing criteria and operation criteria, superheater supports both our lug support design and our steam cooled support design and our wall attachment designs, superheater penetration design through the rear wall, the welding of the superheater, the platen loops.

The Keystone tile furnace floor design to control superheat temperature, the water wash drain design, steam outlet location on steam drums, feedwater heating coil

designs, mud drum steel coil designs, flue gas outlet duct arrangements, furnace aspect ratios, widths, height of tube layouts for M series and special O series, furnace tube slopes, the buckstay designs, the tube and membrane designs.

Also separate steam drum internals to isolate flow in the front wall design, the anti vibration bar design that mitigates and minimizes flow induced vibration, steam diameter drum sizing criteria for various steam flows, drum water level criteria for operation, normal low, high water logs, the heat transfer coefficients throughout the unit, the furnace, the boiler superheater, the economizer, furnace exit gas temperature sizing criteria, flue gas draft loss calculation methodologies, the pinch and approach points, limitations on feedwater flue gas temperature, saturation temperatures.

Various manufacturing specifications and requirements, those of which are also beyond code requirements, limits on minimum shell and tube thicknesses, these are not on the limited list.

Overall everything related to the design of the Keystone package boiler is a trade secret.

Q First, let me ask you, for those items, those

aspects that you identified as trade secrets, were those created by IKE's predecessors? I mean, they would have had to have been; right?

A Yes.

Q What I asked you was were they provided to VEO under the License Agreement and IKE hasn't provided any information to VEO that I know of.

A Yes. The answer is yes.

Q What efforts did IKE's predecessors make to maintain the confidentiality of those trade secrets?

A Trade secrets remain confidential even if certain general design features are known. For example, O type are known from sales literature. That information cannot be used to design and manufacture the boilers or to make them operate safely to meet performance requirement. There is no distribution of detailed design drawings unless confidentiality agreements, control of drawings including limited disclosure of drawings internally and externally.

Engineers have confidentiality agreements, access to computer systems are password protected, access to UNIX system was password protected, limited distribution of technical information within the company and control of

```
152
```

```
drawings, drawings stamped proprietary and confidential,
1
     confidentiality agreements with manufacturers or
     construction contractors.
3
            Anything else?
            Not at this time.
5
            Did Mark White sign a Confidentiality Agreement
6
     with EPTI?
                   MR. GISLESON: I think, just so its clear,
8
     your topic No. 12 is efforts by IKE to maintain the
9
     confidentiality.
10
                   MR. SHEEAN: Why is that relevant to whether
11
     or not these trade secrets are -- --
12
                   MR. GISLESON: We'll object as outside the
13
     scope.
14
                   MR. SHEEAN: Okay. You can answer.
15
            I don't know if he did, but we will look into it
16
     from our predecessor's files.
17
            Okay. Did Mark White have access to the various
18
     aspects of the Keystone technology that you described as
19
     trade secrets?
20
                   MR. GISLESON: Same objection.
21
            Not everything.
     Α
22
            What do you believe he didn't have access to?
     Q
23
```

```
While he was --
    Α
1
            While he was at Erie Power.
2
            While he was at Erie Power?
    Α
3
            Or Aalborg, A-a-l-b-o-r-g, Zurn.
                   MR. GISLESON: Same objection. He is
5
    testifying here as IKE's designee and you're talking about
6
    what was happening at EPTI. So to the extent you have
7
    knowledge as IKE's representative on that subject --
            As IKE's representative, we don't have knowledge on
     that.
10
            Okay. Do the trade secrets that you identified
11
     remain confidential today, to the best of your knowledge?
12
            Yes.
     Α
            Would that be true even if various aspects of the
14
     Keystone design are in the public domain?
15
                   MR. GISLESON: Objection. Assumes facts not
16
     in evidence. No foundation. Calls for a legal
17
     conclusion.
18
                   MR. SHEEAN: You can answer.
19
                   MR. GISLESON: Argumentative as well.
20
            Yes, whether its individually or all grouped
21
     together to operate as an overall Keystone package boiler.
22
            I'm not talking about any aspect that you have
23
```

```
identified. Would you agree if its in the public domain
1
    its no longer a trade secret?
            No.
    Α
3
            You wouldn't agree with that?
                   MR. GISLESON: Same objections.
5
            IKE's interpretation is that, as stated earlier,
6
    even if there is reference to one or more of those items
     in the public domain, the public cannot design those
8
     aspects just from referencing them in the public domain.
9
            You agree with me, wouldn't you, that the License
10
     Agreement specifically excludes from the secrecy
11
     requirements for technical information any information
12
     that is in the public domain under Clause 5 of the License
13
     Agreement; correct?
14
                   MR. GISLESON: Objection. The License
15
     Agreement speaks for itself.
16
     BY MR. SHEEAN:
17
            Specifically, on page 6 of the License Agreement,
18
     VEO 1177 5.b) reads, Notwithstanding the foregoing, no
19
     secrecy obligation herein shall apply to technical
20
     information which: At the time of disclosure is generally
21
     available to the public;
22
            ii: After disclosure by licensor becomes generally
23
```

```
available to the public by publication or otherwise through no fault of licensee;
```

iii: Was in licensee's possession prior to the
disclosure hereunder and which was not acquired directly
or indirectly from licensor and

iv: Was received by licensee from a third party imposing no obligation of confidentiality and who did not acquire any such information directly or indirectly from licensee.

Do you see that?

A I see that.

Q Do you believe if the License Agreement is within the public domain there is no secrecy obligation pursuant to the License Agreement?

MR. GISLESON: Objection.

A No. IKE's interpretation is that all the items listed, the detailed trade secret and the design information on how to actually design each and every one of those, is not in the public domain and its not -- that's it.

Q Are you confident that each trade secret that you identified for me in that laundry list of trade secrets was provided to Victory Energy pursuant to the terms of

the License Agreement, because that was my question? 1 All those trade secrets were not pursuant to the 2 Those trade secrets were provided to License Agreement. 3 Victory, not all of which were pursuant to the License 4 Agreement. Some were provided on a case by case agreement 5 to allow VEO to pursue the License Agreement. 6 Do you mean a reference to --Q 7 MR. GISLESON: One second. 8 (Discussion off the Record). 9 IKE's belief is that EPTI permitted VEO to sell 10 products outside of the License Agreement as defined in 11 Annex 1 on a case by case basis. However, all the other 12 provisions as far as secrecy and that of the License 13 Agreement remain intact. 14 If the information that Victory Energy obtained 15 from EPTI was outside the scope of the License Agreement, 16 how is it that the rest of the terms of the License 17 Agreement apply? 18 As far as the secrecy and the royalty payments. Α 19 That's just your interpretation of the License 20 Agreement? 21 Correct. Α 22 Are you confident that --23

As well as the parties performance under the Α 1 License Agreement, because they both agreed to operate 2 under the License Agreement. 3 Are you confident that Victory Energy received technical information relating to the buckstays? 5 I don't know exactly if the buckstay design information was provided to VEO without going through the 7 documents. I was providing trade secrets. Okay. 9 Of the Keystone product line. 10 So you don't know specifically whether each and 11 every one of those was part of what was provided to 12 Victory Energy; is that a fair statement? 13 I believe they were and I would like to refer again 14 to what's in the documents behind Item 5 of what you will 15 be getting a copy of, as far as our understanding of what 16 EPTI was to provide, the broad categories of documentation 17 described in Clause 1. 18 Are there any aspects of the design and 19 manufacturing process of the Keystone boiler that IKE 20 asserts are proprietary above and beyond the trade secrets 21

IKE considers the overall design and its components

that you have just identified? This is topic No. 13.

22

23

```
proprietary, consistent with the prior description of the trade secrets.
```

- Q Okay. I think the -- is it fair to say that the trade secrets you identified in response to topic 12 include trade secrets relative to design and manufacturing processes? I don't want to cover ground we have already covered.
- A Yes.

Q Because 13 I was breaking out, you know, the feature of the Keystone boiler itself and the design and engineering process and I understand you basically put those things together, which is fine. Like I said, I don't want to cover the same thing again.

Are the efforts that IKE identifies as confidential the same as what you previously testified?

- A That's correct.
 - Q And to the best of your knowledge, none of the design information that you deem to be a trade secret are within the public domain; is that right?
- 20 A Yes.
 - Q Can you identify for me each and every trade secret you allege that Victory Energy misappropriated from either Erie Power or IKE?

A IKE believes that VEO misappropriated the design for the Keystone M series boiler as well as part of the features that are not part of the standard series such as membrane walls and water cooled throats. Under the agreement, i.e., the products defined in Annex 1, VEO utilized technology it received from EPTI on a project specific basis that was out of the scope of the agreement after EPTI notified VEO on March 26, 2004, that it must confine itself to the scope of the agreement.

IKE is investigating whether VEO incorporated the license technology. Discovery is continuing on that issue.

In addition, I would refer to my comments on item 12 previously, as well as the documents that are behind tab 5.

Q Are the damages that IKE is seeking for misappropriating the design as you described in any way different from the damages its seeking generally for trademark infringement and unauthorized modification and sale of Keystone boilers?

A No.

Q Topic No. 15 is all instances that IKE is aware of where VEO sold a product outside the scope of the License